

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/552,085	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Long Nguyen	2816	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Long Nguyen. (3)_____.

(2) Attorney Lisa Bongiovi. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bowers (USP 4,675,561) and Choi et al. (USP 4,700,461).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the informalities of claim 11, and discussed the 103 rejection of claim 20 in the office action. Applicant agrees to cancel claim 20, and amend claim 11 as in the Examiner's Amendment so that claim 11 is clear. Hence, pending claims 11-14 and 16-18 are allowed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required